



 REPLY/AMENDMENT
FEE TRANSMITTAL

| | | | |
|-----------------|------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| | | Attorney Docket No. | 95-520 |
| | | Application Number | 10/083,149 |
| | | Filing Date | February 27, 2002 |
| | | First Named Inventor | WINKLES <i>et al.</i> |
| | | Group Art Unit | 2616 |
| AMOUNT ENCLOSED | \$ 0 | Examiner Name | WONG, Warner |

FEE CALCULATION (fees effective 12/08/2005)

| CLAIMS AS AMENDED | Claims Remaining After Amendment | Highest Number Previously Paid For | Number Extra | Rate | Calculations |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|
| TOTAL CLAIMS | 10 | 20 | 0 ⁽³⁾ | X \$50.00 = | \$0 |
| INDEPENDENT CLAIMS | 2 | 3 | 0 | X \$200.00 = | \$0 |

Since an Official Action set an original due date of ___, petition is hereby made for an extension to cover the date this reply is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month (\$120); 2 months (\$450); 3 months (\$1020); 4 months (\$1590); 5 months (\$2160)): _____

If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$130) _____ + _____

Total of above Calculations = \$0

Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28) _____ - _____

TOTAL FEES DUE = \$0

- (1) If entry (1) is less than entry (2), entry (3) is "0".
 (2) If entry (2) is less than 20, change entry (2) to "20".
 (4) If entry (4) is less than entry (5), entry (6) is "0".
 (5) If entry (5) is less than 3, change entry (5) to "3".

METHOD OF PAYMENT

- Check enclosed as payment.
 Charge "TOTAL FEES DUE" to the Deposit Account No., below.

AUTHORIZATION

- If the above-noted "AMOUNT ENCLOSED" is not correct, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fees under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 necessary to maintain pendency of the present application to:

Deposit Account No.: 50-0687

OrderNo.: (Client/Matter) 95-520

SUBMITTED BY: MANELLI DENISON & SELTER PLLC

| | | | |
|------------|-------------------|----------|------------------|
| Typed Name | Leon R. Turkevich | Reg. No. | 34,035 |
| Signature | | Date | October 25, 2006 |

Docket No.: 95-520



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of :
WINKLES *et al.* :
Serial No.: 10/083,149 : Group Art Unit: 2616
Filed: February 27, 2002 : Examiner: WONG, Warner

For: ARRANGEMENT IN A CHANNEL ADAPTER FOR TRANSMITTING DATA
ACCORDING TO LINK WIDTHS SELECTED BASED ON RECEIVED LINK
MANAGEMENT PACKETS

RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Official Action mailed July 25, 2006, Applicant submits the following remarks.

Reconsideration and allowance of the above-referenced application are respectfully requested. Claims 1-10 are pending in the application.

Claims 1-10 stand rejected under 35 USC §102(e) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,831,916 to Parthasarathy et al.. This rejection is respectfully traversed, as the rejection fails to demonstrate that Parthasarathy et al. discloses each and every element of the claim.

Parthasarathy et al. fails to provide any disclosure or suggestion of the claimed multiplexer circuit, as specified in independent claims 1 and 6. Claims 1 and 6 each specify that the claimed multiplexer is “configured for selectively switching frame data *of a prescribed maximum link width* to a *selected* one of *a plurality of available link widths*”, where the

Response filed October 25, 2006

Appln. No. 10/083,149

Page 1